

Quantum brachistochrone problem for two spins- $\frac{1}{2}$ with anisotropic Heisenberg interaction

A. R. Kuzmak¹, V. M. Tkachuk²

E-Mail: ¹*andriykuzmak@gmail.com*, ²*voltkachuk@gmail.com*

Department for Theoretical Physics, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,

12 Drahomanov St., Lviv, UA-79005, Ukraine

We study the quantum brachistochrone evolution for a system of two spins- $\frac{1}{2}$ describing by an anisotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian without zx , zy interacting couplings in magnetic field directed along z -axis. This Hamiltonian realizes quantum evolution in two subspaces spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ separately and allows to consider brachistochrone problem on each subspace separately. Using operator of evolution for this Hamiltonian we generate quantum gates, namely an entangler gate, *SWAP* gate, *iSWAP* gate. We also show that the time required for the generation of an entangler gate and *iSWAP* gate is minimal from all possible.

PACS number: 03.65.Xp, 03.65.Aa, 03.65.Ca, 03.67.Lx

1 Introduction

The quantum brachistochrone problem is formulated similarly as a classical brachistochrone [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]: What is the optimal Hamiltonian, under a given set of constraints, such that the evolution from a given initial state $|\psi_i\rangle$ to a given final one $|\psi_f\rangle$ is achieved in the shortest time? For the first time this problem was considered by Carlini et al. [1]. Using the variational principle, they presented a general framework for finding the time of optimal evolution and the optimal Hamiltonian for a quantum system with a given set of initial and final states. The authors solved this problem for some specific examples of constraints. In [2] it was shown that analogous results as in [1] can be obtained more directly using symmetry properties of the quantum state space. Their approach was based on the idea considered in [7], where an elementary derivation was provided for the minimum time required to transform initial quantum state into another orthogonal state. In [8] the quantum brachistochrone problem for mixed states was considered.

Bender et al. explored the brachistochrone problem for a PT-symmetric non-Hermitian Hamiltonian [3] and showed that for the non-Hermitian PT-symmetric Hamiltonians satisfying the same energy constraint the optimal time of evolution between the two fixed states can be made arbitrarily small. For a more detailed discussion on this subject see in [4, 5]. The quantum brachistochrone problem for a spin-1 system in the magnetic field was solved in [9].

In [10, 11, 12, 13] it was established that the entanglement of quantum states is important in connection with optimization of quantum evolution. It was discovered by Giovannetti, Lloyd and Maccone [10, 11] that, in certain cases, entanglement enhances the speed of quantum evolution of composite systems. Connection between entanglement and time of evolution in the case of two-qubit and N-qubit systems was explored in [14]. The authors of [14] showed that, as the number of qubits increases, very little entanglement is needed to reach the quantum speed limit. Also, it was recently explored that entanglement is an essential resource to achieve the speed limit in the context of quantum brachistochrone problem [15, 16, 17].

In [18] it was formulated a variational principle for finding the optimal time of realization of a target unitary operation, when the available Hamiltonians are subject to certain constraints dictated by either experimental or theoretical conditions. This method was illustrated for the case of a two-spin system described by an anisotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian with J_{ii} interaction between spins ($i = x, y, z$) and magnetic field h_z^α ($\alpha = 1, 2$ for the first and second spin, respectively) which is directed along z -axis. Using operator of evolution for this Hamiltonian there was generated three examples of target quantum gates, namely the swap of two qubits (U_{SWAP}), the quantum Fourier transformation and the entangler gate (U_{ENT}). In this case, they showed that the optimal time required to generate these quantum gate are not the shortest possible time.

It was shown that the two-qubit Hamiltonian with the J_{xx} and J_{yy} interaction combines CNOT gate with the iSWAP operation [19]. The quantum CNOT gate is the fundamental two-qubit gate for quantum computation [20]. This gate plays a central role in networks for quantum error correction [21].

In this paper we consider the quantum brachistochrone problem for the system of two-qubit represented by Heisenberg Hamiltonian with J_{ii} ($i = x, y, z$), J_{jk} ($j \neq k = x, y$) interaction between spins and magnetic field h_z^α ($\alpha = 1, 2$ for the first and second spin, respectively) which is directed along z -axis. This Hamiltonian realizes quantum evolution in two subspaces spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. We solve this problem for each subspace separately and using operator of evolution for this Hamiltonian we construct entangler, SWAP and iSWAP gates. Contrary to [18], our Hamiltonian contain J_{xy} and J_{yx} couplings which allow to generate the entangler gate during the shortest possible time. Also, the generation time of the iSWAP gate is the minimal possible time which corresponds to the brachistochrone one.

Thus, this problem might have an important application in quantum computing, quantum teleportation and quantum cryptography, because, as we are going to show, it allows to reach maximally entangled states during the shortest possible time.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce and discuss the Hamiltonian of a system of two spins- $\frac{1}{2}$. In Section 3 we solve the quantum brachistochrone problem on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ (subsection 3.1) and on the subspace spanned by $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ (subsection 3.2) separately and obtain Hamiltonians which provide optimal evolution on each subspaces. We obtain optimal conditions and time required to generate an entangler, SWAP and iSWAP gates in Section 4. Finally, the summary and discussion are given in Section 4.

2 Hamiltonian

Our aim is to explore quantum brachistochrone evolution for a system of two-qubit. What we consider is a physical system of a two-qubit represented by two-spin interacting via anisotropic couplings J_{ii} ($i = x, y, z$), J_{jk} ($j \neq k = x, y$) and magnetic field h_z^α ($\alpha = 1, 2$ for the first and second spin, respectively) which is directed along z -axis. In other words, we choose the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i,j=x,y,z} J_{ij} \sigma_i^1 \sigma_j^2 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^2 h_z^\alpha \sigma_z^\alpha, \quad (1)$$

where $J_{xz} = J_{zx} = J_{yz} = J_{zy} = 0$, $\sigma_i^1 = \sigma_i \otimes I$, $\sigma_i^2 = I \otimes \sigma_i$, σ_i are the Pauli matrices. Note that this Hamiltonian does not contain items with $\sigma_x^1 \sigma_z^2$, $\sigma_z^1 \sigma_x^2$ and $\sigma_y^1 \sigma_z^2$, $\sigma_z^1 \sigma_y^2$, therefore, it realizes quantum evolution on two subspaces spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ and does not mix these subspaces. This quality allows to rewrite Hamiltonian (1) as $H = H_I + H_{II}$, where in the basis labeled as $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$, the Hamiltonians $H_{I(II)}$ read:

$$\begin{aligned} H_I &= \begin{pmatrix} h^+ + J_{zz} & 0 & 0 & J_{Re}^- - iJ_{Im}^+ \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ J_{Re}^- + iJ_{Im}^+ & 0 & 0 & -h^+ + J_{zz} \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_{II} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h^- - J_{zz} & J_{Re}^+ + iJ_{Im}^- & 0 \\ 0 & J_{Re}^+ - iJ_{Im}^- & -h^- - J_{zz} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where we have introduced $h^\pm = h_z^1 \pm h_z^2$, $J_{Re}^\pm = J_{xx} \pm J_{yy}$ and $J_{Im}^\pm = J_{xy} \pm J_{yx}$. H_I and H_{II} commute ($[H_I, H_{II}] = 0$). Accordingly, H_I realizes the evolution

of a system on the $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ subspace and H_{II} realizes the evolution on the $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ subspace.

Hamiltonian (1) has four eigenvalues: $E_I^+ = J_{zz} + \omega_I$, $E_I^- = J_{zz} - \omega_I$, $E_{II}^+ = -J_{zz} + \omega_{II}$, $E_{II}^- = -J_{zz} - \omega_{II}$ with the corresponding eigenvectors:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_I^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_I(\omega_I - h^+)}} \left[(J_{Re}^- - iJ_{Im}^+) |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + (\omega_I - h^+) |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \right], \\ |\psi_I^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_I(\omega_I + h^+)}} \left[(J_{Re}^- - iJ_{Im}^+) |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - (\omega_I + h^+) |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \right], \\ |\psi_{II}^+\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{II}(\omega_{II} - h^-)}} \left[(J_{Re}^+ + iJ_{Im}^-) |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + (\omega_{II} - h^-) |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \right], \\ |\psi_{II}^-\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_{II}(\omega_{II} + h^-)}} \left[(J_{Re}^+ + iJ_{Im}^-) |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - (\omega_{II} + h^-) |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \right], \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

where we introduce $\omega_I = \sqrt{J_{Re}^{-2} + J_{Im}^{+2} + h^{+2}}$ and $\omega_{II} = \sqrt{J_{Re}^{+2} + J_{Im}^{-2} + h^{-2}}$.

Hamiltonians H_I and H_{II} have common set of eigenvectors (3). Hamiltonian H_I has two eigenvalues E_I^+ , E_I^- with corresponding eigenvectors $|\psi_I^+\rangle$, $|\psi_I^-\rangle$ and one two-fold degenerate eigenvalue 0 with $|\psi_{II}^+\rangle$ and $|\psi_{II}^-\rangle$ eigenvectors. Similar situation is in the case for H_{II} . It has two eigenvalues E_{II}^+ , E_{II}^- with eigenvectors $|\psi_{II}^+\rangle$, $|\psi_{II}^-\rangle$, respectively and one two-fold degenerate eigenvalue 0 with two eigenvectors $|\psi_I^+\rangle$ and $|\psi_I^-\rangle$.

3 Quantum brachistochrone

The brachistochrone problem is as follows: What is the optimal Hamiltonian, with the finite energy condition, such that the evolution from a given initial state $|\psi_i\rangle$ to a given final one $|\psi_f\rangle$ is achieved in the shortest possible time. The finite energy condition can be written in the form [18]:

$$\text{Tr } H^2 - 2\omega^2 = 0, \quad (4)$$

where ω is a constant. The finite energy condition (4) for Hamiltonian (1) is:

$$\omega_I^2 + \omega_{II}^2 + 2J_{zz}^2 = \omega^2. \quad (5)$$

As we mentioned earlier, Hamiltonian (1) realizes evolution in two subspace separately and does not mix these subspaces due to which we cannot observe evolution between states from different subspaces. Consequently, we can consider quantum brachistochrone problem on each subspace separately.

Let us consider the operator of evolution with Hamiltonian (1):

$$U(t) = e^{iHt} = e^{iH_I t} e^{iH_{II} t} = U_I U_{II}, \quad (6)$$

where we use that H_I and H_{II} commute, and $U_I(t) = e^{iH_I t}$, $U_{II}(t) = e^{iH_{II} t}$. We take $\hbar = 1$. U_I realizes the transformation $|\psi_f\rangle = U_I |\psi_i\rangle$ on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and acts as a unit operator on another subspace (subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$). Contrary to U_I , U_{II} realizes similar transformation $|\psi_f\rangle = U_{II} |\psi_i\rangle$ on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ and acts as a unit operator on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$. Let us consider quantum brachistochrone problem on each subspace in detail.

3.1 Quantum evolution on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$

We consider the quantum brachistochrone problem of two spins- $\frac{1}{2}$ represented by Hamiltonian (1) on the $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ subspace. As we noticed earlier Hamiltonian H (1) acts on any state $|\psi\rangle = a|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + b|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ (where the normalization condition is the following: $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$) which belongs to subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ following:

$$H|\psi\rangle = H_I |\psi\rangle.$$

Therefore, we consider the quantum brachistochrone problem on this subspace using Hamiltonian H_I from (2). Let us introduce the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x^I &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma_y^I &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma_z^I &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, & I^I &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

These operators satisfy properties of Pauli and unit matrices for $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ states when we denote their as follows: $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \equiv |\downarrow\rangle$.

With the help of introduced operators (7) the Hamiltonian H_I from (2) can be written in the form:

$$H_I = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^I \cdot \mathbf{h}^I + J_{zz} I^I, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{h}^I = (J_{Re}^-, J_{Im}^+, h^+)$. This Hamiltonian is similar to the Hamiltonian of one spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ in the magnetic field. In the case of one spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ vector, $\mathbf{h}^I$ is vector of the magnetic field. Brachistochrone problem for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ in the magnetic field was considered in the paper [6]. We cannot use the result from this paper because we assume another finite energy condition (4) comparing to paper [6]. In [6] author fixed the largest and the smallest eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian.

Now, using (8) we represent the evolution operator $U_I = e^{iH_I t}$ as follows:

$$U_I = \left(I - I^I + \cos(\omega_I t) I^I + \frac{i}{\omega_I} \sin(\omega_I t) \boldsymbol{\sigma}^I \cdot \mathbf{h}^I \right) A^I, \quad (9)$$

where

$$A^I = \begin{pmatrix} e^{iJ_{zz}t} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{iJ_{zz}t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we use that $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^I \cdot \mathbf{h}^I)^{2n} = \omega_I^{2n} I^I$ and $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^I \cdot \mathbf{h}^I)^{2n+1} = \omega_I^{2n} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^I \cdot \mathbf{h}^I$ where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. In a matrix form (9) reads:

$$U_I = \begin{pmatrix} (\cos(\omega_I t) + \frac{i}{\omega_I} \sin(\omega_I t) h^+) e^{iJ_{zz}t} & 0 & 0 & \frac{i}{\omega_I} \sin(\omega_I t) (J_{Re}^- - iJ_{Im}^+) e^{iJ_{zz}t} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{i}{\omega_I} \sin(\omega_I t) (J_{Re}^- + iJ_{Im}^+) e^{iJ_{zz}t} & 0 & 0 & (\cos \omega_I t - \frac{i}{\omega_I} \sin(\omega_I t) h^+) e^{iJ_{zz}t} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Let us put the initial state as $|\psi_i\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ and the final one as $|\psi_f\rangle = a|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + b|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$. Then using the matrix representation for the operator of evolution U_I (10), the relation $|\psi_f\rangle = U_I |\psi_i\rangle$ takes the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ b \end{pmatrix} = e^{iJ_{zz}t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\omega_I t) + \frac{i}{\omega_I} \sin(\omega_I t) h^+ \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{i}{\omega_I} \sin(\omega_I t) (J_{Re}^- + iJ_{Im}^+) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

From the fourth component of (11) we obtain the necessary condition that the initial state reaches the final one:

$$\frac{1}{\omega_I} \sin(\omega_I t) \sqrt{J_{Re}^{-2} + J_{Im}^{+2}} = |b|. \quad (12)$$

From equation (12) we obtain the time required to transform the initial state $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ into the final one $a|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + b|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$: $t = \frac{1}{\omega_I} \arcsin \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{h^{+2}}{J_{Re}^{-2} + J_{Im}^{+2}}} |b| \right)$.

Now, using the finite energy condition (5) we optimize the time of evolution if we put the following condition:

$$\omega_{II} = J_{zz} = h^+ = 0. \quad (13)$$

The optimal time is thus:

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\omega} \arcsin |b|. \quad (14)$$

If the final state is $|\psi_f\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ then we obtain the following passage time $\tau = \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$ (The shortest time of evolution between the two fixed orthogonal states is called the passage time [7]).

Condition (12) does not account the phase of b . Let us find the condition that allows to reach the phase of components of the final state during the optimal time (14). For this we insert the optimal time of evolution (14) and conditions (13) in equation (11). From the fourth component of (11) we obtain the following equation: $\frac{i}{\omega}|b|(J_{Re}^- + iJ_{Im}^+) = b$, which allows to find another conditions for optimal evolution: $J_{Re}^- = \omega \frac{\Im b}{|b|}$, $J_{Im}^+ = -\omega \frac{\Re b}{|b|}$, where $b = \Re b + i\Im b$. Hence, all the necessary conditions for the optimal evolution in the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ read:

$$J_{xx} = -J_{yy} = \frac{\omega \Im b}{2|b|}, \quad J_{xy} = J_{yx} = -\frac{\omega \Re b}{2|b|}, \quad J_{zz} = 0, \quad h_z^1 = h_z^2 = 0. \quad (15)$$

Initial state can reach maximally entangled states $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\phi}|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)$ ($\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$) during the minimal time $\tau = \frac{\pi}{4\omega}$.

If we put optimal conditions (15) on the Hamiltonian H (1) we obtain Hamiltonian which provides optimal evolution on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$. In the matrix form it reads:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i\omega \frac{b^*}{|b|} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i\omega \frac{b}{|b|} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

The Hilbert space of the $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ subspace is two-dimensional and is represented by the Bloch sphere (the Bloch sphere is the state space of a two-level system with the unit radius). Any pure state can be identified as a point on this sphere. The shortest distance between $|\psi_i\rangle$ and $|\psi_f\rangle$ is a large circle arc on the sphere (geodesic path). The optimal way of transporting $|\psi_i\rangle$ into $|\psi_f\rangle$ is therefore to rotate the sphere around the axis orthogonal

to the large circle. The axis of rotation passes along two quantum states $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - i\frac{b}{|b|}|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle]$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + i\frac{b}{|b|}|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle]$ which are eigenvectors of optimal Hamiltonian H (16) that correspond to the largest ω and the smallest $-\omega$ eigenvalues.

3.2 Quantum evolution on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$

Similar result we obtain for this case. We consider the quantum brachistochrone problem using Hamiltonian H_{II} from (2), because Hamiltonian H (1) acts on any state $|\psi\rangle = a|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + b|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ (where the normalization condition is as follows: $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$) which belongs to subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ following:

$$H|\psi\rangle = H_{II}|\psi\rangle.$$

Let us introduce the following analogues Pauli and unit matrices for the basis state $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \equiv |\downarrow\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x^{II} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \sigma_y^{II} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma_z^{II} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & I^{II} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Using (17) and making the same steps as in the previous case we rewrite Hamiltonian H_{II} from (2) as:

$$H_{II} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{II} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{II} - J_{zz} I^{II}, \quad (18)$$

the components of vector $\mathbf{h}^{II}$ read $\mathbf{h}^{II} = (J_{Re}^+, -J_{Im}^-, h^-)$. The operator of evolution for this case $U_{II} = e^{iH_{II}t}$ we can represent as:

$$U_{II} = \left(I - I^{II} + \cos(\omega_{II}t) I^{II} + \frac{i}{\omega_{II}} \sin(\omega_{II}t) \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{II} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{II} \right) A^{II}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$A^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-iJ_{zz}t} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-iJ_{zz}t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here we use that $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{II} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{II})^{2n} = \omega_{II}^{2n} I^{II}$ and $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{II} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{II})^{2n+1} = \omega_{II}^{2n} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{II} \cdot \mathbf{h}^{II}$ where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. In the matrix representation U_{II} reads as:

$$U_{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\cos(\omega_{II}t) + \frac{i}{\omega_{II}} \sin(\omega_{II}t) h^-) e^{-iJ_{zz}t} & \frac{i}{\omega_{II}} \sin(\omega_{II}t) (J_{Re}^+ + iJ_{Im}^-) e^{-iJ_{zz}t} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{i}{\omega_{II}} \sin(\omega_{II}t) (J_{Re}^+ - iJ_{Im}^-) e^{-iJ_{zz}t} & (\cos(\omega_{II}t) - \frac{i}{\omega_{II}} \sin(\omega_{II}t) h^-) e^{-iJ_{zz}t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Let us put the initial state as $|\psi_i\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ and the final one as $|\psi_f\rangle = a|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + b|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. Similarly as in previous case using matrix representation for U_{II} (20), we write the relation $|\psi_f\rangle = U_{II}|\psi_i\rangle$ in the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a \\ b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = e^{-iJ_{zz}t} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \cos(\omega_{II}t) + \frac{i}{\omega_{II}} \sin(\omega_{II}t) h^- \\ \frac{i}{\omega_{II}} \sin(\omega_{II}t) (J_{Re}^+ - iJ_{Im}^-) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

In this case conditions for optimal evolution read:

$$J_{xx} = J_{yy} = \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{\Im b}{|b|}, \quad J_{xy} = -J_{yx} = \frac{\omega}{2} \frac{\Re b}{|b|}, \quad J_{zz} = 0, \quad h_z^1 = h_z^2 = 0, \quad (22)$$

and the optimal time is (14). Also, in this case, the initial state $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ can reach maximally entangled states $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + e^{i\phi}|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$ ($\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$) during the minimal time $\tau = \frac{\pi}{4\omega}$.

If we put conditions (22) on the Hamiltonian H (1) we obtain Hamiltonian which provides optimal evolution on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. In the matrix form this Hamiltonian reads:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i\omega \frac{b^*}{|b|} & 0 \\ 0 & -i\omega \frac{b}{|b|} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

The Hilbert space of the $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ subspace is two-dimensional and it is represented by the Bloch sphere. In this case the axis of rotation passes along two quantum states $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - i\frac{b}{|b|}|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle]$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + i\frac{b}{|b|}|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle]$ which are eigenvectors of the optimal Hamiltonian H (23) that correspond the largest ω and the smallest $-\omega$ eigenvalues.

4 Realization of quantum gates by two interacting spins

Operator of evolution can be related with some quantum gates. We now demonstrate this explicitly by a few examples. Let us consider the entangler gate:

$$U_{ENT} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & 0 & 0 & \sin \phi \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & 0 & 0 & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

where $\phi \in [0, \pi]$. If this gate acts on the state $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, it will produce the ϕ -dependent entangled state $\cos \phi |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - \sin \phi |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$. The comparison of (6) with (24) using (10) and (20) leads to the following set of parameters: $J_{xx} = J_{yy} = J_{zz} = 0$, $J_{xy} = J_{yx} = \frac{\omega}{2}$, $h_z^1 = h_z^2 = 0$ and $t = \frac{\phi}{\omega}$. If we compare $t = \frac{\phi}{\omega}$ with optimal time (14) we can see that this time is the optimal time of evolution along the brachistochrone on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and the connection between parameter ϕ and b is as follows $\phi = \arcsin |b|$ (ϕ is called the Wothers distance).

Entangled gate allow to reach Bell states from the nonentangled states. When $\phi = \frac{\pi}{4}$, this allows reaching the maximally entangled Bell state $|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)$ from the initial state $|\psi_I\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and Bell state $|\Phi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle - |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle)$ from the initial state $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$. Time of evolution between these pairs of states is the shortest possible time $t = \frac{\pi}{4\omega}$. Initial state $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ can reach ortogonal final states $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ during the time $t = \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$, which is the passage time. In [18] the entangler gate is generated from operator of evolution which is obtained from Heisenberg Hamiltonian with J_{ii} interaction between spins ($i = x, y, z$) and magnetic field h_z^α ($\alpha = 1, 2$ for the first and second spin, respectively) which is directed along z -axis. In paper [18] the generation time of the entangler gate is not the shortest possible time, because this Hamiltonian does not contain items with J_{xy} and J_{yx} interaction which guarantee the shortest time of generation U_{ENT} .

Let us construct a similar gate which produces the entangled states on the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. This gate is constructed with the entangler gate (U_{ENT}) and NOT gate ($U_{NOT} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$) as:

$$U_{\overline{ENT}} = U_{NOT}^1 U_{ENT} U_{NOT}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

where $U_{NOT}^1 = U_{NOT} \otimes I$. If gate (25) acts on the initial state $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ we get entangled state $\cos\phi|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + \sin\phi|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. Similarly to the previous example we compare unitary operator (6) with quantum gate (25) and obtain the following set of parameters: $J_{xx} = J_{yy} = J_{zz} = 0$, $J_{xy} = -J_{yx} = \frac{\omega}{2}$, $h_z^1 = h_z^2 = 0$ and $t = \frac{\phi}{\omega}$. Time of generation of U_{ENT} is the optimal time of evolution along the brachistochrone (14) ($\phi = \arcsin|b|$) in the subspace spanned by $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$.

Let us insert $\phi = \frac{\pi}{4}$ in U_{ENT} (25) and act by U_{ENT} on the initial nonentangled state $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ or $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$. We reach the maximally entangled Bell state $|\Psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$ or the state that describe EPR pair $|\Psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)$, respectively. In this case, the time of evolution between these states is also the shortest possible time $t = \frac{\pi}{4\omega}$. Evolution between two orthogonal states $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ is realized within the passage time $t = \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$.

The next important gate which we consider is the SWAP gate:

$$U_{SWAP} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

which exchanges the states of two qubits. Similar steps as we did in case for entangler gate, we obtain the following set of parameters: $J_{xx} = J_{yy} = J_{zz} = J$ ($J = \pm\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{6}}$), $J_{xy} = J_{yx} = 0$, $h_z^1 = h_z^2 = 0$ and $t = \frac{\sqrt{6}\pi}{4\omega}$. As we can see, such as in [18], time of generation of SWAP gate is not the shortest time, but the evolution is along a geodesic path. This is because the generation speed $v = \frac{4\omega}{\sqrt{6}}$ is less then the maximal value of speed for this Hamiltonian $v_{max} = 2\omega$.

As in the previous example we can generate the gate which exchanges the $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ state into the $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and vice versa:

$$U_{\overline{SWAP}} = U_{NOT}^1 U_{SWAP} U_{NOT}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

when we put the following conditions: $J_{xx} = -J_{yy} = -J_{zz} = J$ ($J = \pm\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{6}}$), $J_{xy} = J_{yx} = 0$, $h_z^1 = h_z^2 = 0$, and $t = \frac{\sqrt{6}\pi}{4\omega}$ on unitary operator (6). t is also not the shortest time, because the generation speed $v = \frac{4\omega}{\sqrt{6}}$ is less than the maximal speed $v_{max} = 2\omega$, but evolution is also along a geodesic path in this subspace.

As a last example, we consider the unitary operator U_{iSWAP} :

$$U_{iSWAP} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

It can be obtained from the time unitary operator (6) if we put the following conditions: $J_{xx} = J_{yy} = \frac{\omega}{2}$, $J_{zz} = J_{xy} = J_{yx} = 0$, $h_z^1 = h_z^2 = 0$ and $t = \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$.

Also, we can obtain the following gate:

$$U_{\overline{iSWAP}} = U_{NOT}^1 U_{iSWAP} U_{NOT}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (29)$$

which is constructed using U_{iSWAP} and U_{NOT} . This gate can be obtained if we put the following conditions: $J_{xx} = -J_{yy} = \frac{\omega}{2}$, $J_{zz} = J_{xy} = J_{yx} = 0$, $h_z^1 = h_z^2 = 0$ and $t = \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$ on unitary operator (6).

The generate times U_{iSWAP} and $U_{\overline{iSWAP}}$ are the shortest possible times of evolution between the ortogonal states on the subspaces spanned by $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ and by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$, respectively.

5 Summary and Discussion

We have studied the quantum brachistochrone problem for the system of two-qubits represented by two spins interacting via anisotropic couplings J_{ii} ($i = x, y, z$), J_{jk} ($j \neq k = x, y$) and magnetic fields h_z^α ($\alpha = 1, 2$ for the first and second spin, respectively) which is directed along z -axis (1). This Hamiltonian realizes quantum evolution in two subspaces spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ and does not mix these subspace because it does not contain items with $\sigma_1^x \sigma_2^z$, $\sigma_1^z \sigma_2^x$ and $\sigma_1^y \sigma_2^z$, $\sigma_1^z \sigma_2^y$.

We solved this problem with the finite energy condition (5) for each subspaces separately. We obtained the conditions for optimal quantum evolution in each subspace and calculated the shortest possible time for evolution from the initial state $|\psi_I\rangle$ to the final one $|\psi_F\rangle$. Also, we obtained Hamiltonians (16) and (23) which provide optimal evolution on the subspaces spanned by $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$, respectively.

The Hilbert space of each subspaces is two dimentional and it represented by the Bloch sphere. The optimal way of transporting $|\psi_I\rangle$ into $|\psi_F\rangle$ is therefore to rotate the sphere around the axis which pass along the eigenvectors

that correspond the largest ω and the smallest $-\omega$ eigenvalues of the optimal Hamiltonian. Thus, the shortest distance between $|\psi_I\rangle$ and $|\psi_F\rangle$ is a large circle arc on the sphere (geodesic path).

We used our result for important examples of U_{ENT} , $U_{\overline{ENT}}$, U_{SWAP} , $U_{\overline{SWAP}}$, U_{iSWAP} and $U_{\overline{iSWAP}}$ gates. In [18] the time of generation of the entangler gate is not the shortest possible time. We showed that an entangler gate can be constructed during the shortest possible time because in addition to the Hamiltonian which is considered in [18] our Hamiltonian contain J_{xy} and J_{yx} couplings. This allows to reach maximal entangled Bell states from the nonentangled ones during the shortest time. Also, we obtained the conditions for generation of $U_{\overline{ENT}}$, U_{iSWAP} and $U_{\overline{iSWAP}}$ gates during the shortest possible time. Time of generation U_{SWAP} and $U_{\overline{SWAP}}$ $t = \frac{\sqrt{6}\pi}{4\omega}$ is the same as the time of generation U_{SWAP} in [18]. This time is not the shortest possible time $t = \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$. This is because the generation speed $v = \frac{4\omega}{\sqrt{6}}$ is less than maximal value of speed for this Hamiltonian $v_{max} = 2\omega$.

6 Acknowledgment

Authors would like to thank Dr. A. Rovenchak for useful comments.

References

- [1] Alberto Carlini, Akio Hosoya, Tatsuhiko Koike and Yosuke Okudaira, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 060503 (2006).
- [2] Dorje C. Brody, and Daniel W. Hook, J. Phys. A **39**, L167 (2006).
- [3] Carl M. Bender, Dorje C. Brody, Hugh F. Jones and Bernhard K. Meister, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 040403 (2007).
- [4] Carl M. Bender, and Dorje C. Brody, Time in Quantum Mechanics – **2**, Lecture Notes in Physics, **789**, Springer: Berlin – Heidelberg, 341 (2010).
- [5] Carl M. Bender, SIGMA **3**, 126 (2007).
- [6] V. M. Tkachuk, Fundamental problems of quantum mechanic (Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Lviv (2011)). [in Ukrainian]
- [7] Dorje C. Brody, J. Phys. A **36**, 5587 (2003).

- [8] Alberto Carlini, Akio Hosoya, Tatsuhiko Koike and Yosuke Okudaira, arXiv:quant-ph/0703047.
- [9] A. M. Frydryszak, V. M. Tkachuk, Phys. Rev. A **77**, 014103 (2008).
- [10] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd and L. Maccone, Europhys. Lett. **62**, 615 (2003).
- [11] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd and L. Maccone, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 052109 (2003).
- [12] J. Batle, M. Casas, A. Plastino and A. R. Plastino, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 032337 (2005).
- [13] A. Borras, M. Casas, A. R. Plastino and A. Plastino, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 022326 (2006).
- [14] C. Zander, A. R. Plastino, A. Plastino and M. Casas, J. Phys. A **40**, 2861 (2007).
- [15] A. Borras, C. Zander, A. R. Plastino, M. Casas and A. Plastino, Europhys. Lett. **81**, 30007 (2008).
- [16] A. Borras, A. R. Plastino, M. Casas and A. Plastino, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 052104 (2008).
- [17] Bao-Kui Zhao, Fu-Guo Deng, Feng-Shou Zhang and Hong-Yu Zhou, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 052106 (2009).
- [18] Alberto Carlini, Akio Hosoya, Tatsuhiko Koike and Yosuke Okudaira, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 042308 (2007).
- [19] N. Schuch and J. Siewert, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 032301 (2003).
- [20] Adriano Barenco, Charles H. Bennett, Richard Cleve, David P. DiVincenzo, Norman Margolus, Peter Shor, Tycho Sleator, John A. Smolin and Harald Weinfurter, Phys. Rev. A, **52**, 3457 (1995).
- [21] David P. DiVincenzo, Royal Society of London Proceeding Series A, **454**, 261 (1998).